# NASA-CR-204642

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 477:L91-L94, 1997 March 10 © 1997. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

NAG5-3225 NAG5-3225 5850 NAG5-3102 NDB NAG5-3102 NDB NAG5-3102 (C) WAINED 030354

# THE 67 Hz FEATURE IN THE BLACK HOLE CANDIDATE GRS 1915+105 AS A POSSIBLE "DISKOSEISMIC" MODE

MICHAEL A. NOWAK, 1 ROBERT V. WAGONER, 2 MITCHELL C. BEGELMAN, 1.3 AND DANA E. LEHR2 Received 1996 November 4; accepted 1996 December 20

## **ABSTRACT**

The Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer has made feasible for the first time the search for high-frequency (≥100 Hz) periodic features in black hole candidate (BHC) systems. Such a feature, with a 67 Hz frequency, recently has been discovered in the BHC GRS 1915+105 (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner). This feature is weak (rms variability ~0.3%-1.6%), stable in frequency (to within ~2 Hz) despite appreciable luminosity fluctuations, and narrow (quality factor  $Q \sim 20$ ). Several of these properties are what one expects for a "diskoseismic" g-mode in an accretion disk about a 10.6  $M_{\odot}$  (nonrotating) to 36.3  $M_{\odot}$  (maximally rotating) black hole (if we are observing the fundamental-mode frequency). We explore this possibility by considering the expected luminosity modulation, as well as possible excitation and growth mechanisms—including turbulent excitation, damping, and "negative" radiation damping. We conclude that a diskoseismic interpretation of the observations is viable.

Subject headings: black hole physics — X-rays: stars

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In a series of previous papers (Nowak & Wagoner 1992, 1993; Perez et al. 1997; hereafter NW92, NW93, and P97, respectively), we have discussed a class of modes in thin, Keplerian accretion disks that exist only in the presence of strong-field gravity (not in Newtonian gravity), some of whose eigenfrequencies depend primarily upon the mass and angular momentum of the black hole. The modes are trapped by general relativistic modification of the radial (k) and vertical  $(\Omega_1)$  epicyclic frequencies of free-particle, circular orbit perturbations (P97, and references therein).

These modes of oscillation are perturbations of the disk that are proportional to exp  $[i(\sigma t + m\phi)]$ . With disk angular velocity  $\Omega(r)$ , their corotating frequency is  $\omega(r) = \sigma + m\Omega$ . Three classes of modes (p-, g-, and c-modes) have been identified (P97, and references therein). However, here we shall be concerned solely with radial (m = 0) g-modes, which are the most relevant observationally.4 These g-modes are trapped where  $\omega^2 < \kappa^2$ , in the region where  $\kappa$  achieves its maximum value (at  $r = 8GM/c^2$  for a nonrotating black hole) because, unlike in Newtonian gravity,  $\kappa(r)$  rolls over at small rand vanishes at the inner disk edge, thereby creating a resonant cavity. The modes with the fewest radial nodes have relatively large radial extents,  $\Delta r \approx GM/c^2$ .

The frequencies  $(f = -\sigma/2\pi)$  of the radial g-modes are

$$f = 714 \left(\frac{1 M_{\odot}}{M}\right) F(a) \left(1 - \epsilon_{nj}\right) \text{ Hz},$$

$$\epsilon_{nj} \sim \left(\frac{n + 1/2}{j + \delta}\right) \left(\frac{h}{r}\right) \tag{1}$$

(see P97). Here F(a) is a known function of the dimensionless black hole angular momentum parameter  $a = cJ/GM^2$ , rang-

<sup>1</sup> JILA, University of Colorado, Campus Box 440, Boulder, CO 80309-0440.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4060.

<sup>3</sup> Also Department of APAS, University of Colorado, Boulder.

4 These modes cover the largest area of the disk in a region in which the disk temperature is maximum (away from the uncertain conditions at the disk edge). ing from F(0) = 1 to F(0.998) = 3.443. The properties of the disk enter only through the small correction term involving the disk thickness 2h, and the radial (n) and vertical (j) mode numbers, with  $\delta \sim 1$  (as derived from the WKB solutions of P97). For thin-disk models in which  $h/r \sim 0.1L/L_{\rm Edd}$  (in the mode-trapping region),  $\epsilon_{nj}$  is typically on the order of 1% for the lowest modes  $(n \sim j \sim 1)$ . Therefore, the mode frequency is relatively independent of disk luminosity. (The mode width, however, scales as  $\Delta r \propto c_s^{1/2} \propto h^{1/2}$ , where  $c_s$  is the sound speed in the disk.) The radial g-mode is thus a good candidate for explaining the recently discovered high-frequency features in the black hole candidate GRS 1915+105.

The observed frequency of this feature is 67 Hz, with a full width at half-maximum of 3-4 Hz (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1996, 1997). This implies an effective  $Q = f/\Delta f \sim 20$ . Despite factors of ~2 luminosity variations in the source, the frequency remained constant to within 1-2 Hz. The root mean square (rms) variability of the 67 Hz feature varied from 0.3% to 1.6% of the total observed X-ray luminosity, with the lower limit essentially being the Poisson noise limit. At its strongest, the rms variability is ~1% below 6 keV, and the rms variability is ~6% in the 12-25 keV band, which indicates that the spectrum of the feature is harder than the integrated source spectrum. (The spectrum of the source is fitted by a power law exponentially cut off at ~5 keV.)

Below we discuss to what extent g-modes can explain these observations. In § 2, we further review the properties of these modes and make simple estimates of their luminosity modulation. In § 3, we discuss application to GRS 1915+105. In § 4, we discuss the role of turbulence and radiation as possible damping, growth, or excitation mechanisms. In § 5, we present our conclusions.

# 2. LUMINOSITY MODULATION OF MODES

For both the psuedo-Newtonian (NW92, NW93) and fully relativistic (P97) diskoseismology calculations, the equations are formulated in terms of the potential  $V = \delta P/\rho$ , where  $\delta P$ 

is the Eulerian variation of the pressure and  $\rho$  is the unperturbed density. For modes with an azimuthal and time dependence  $\propto \exp\left[i(m\phi + \sigma t)\right]$ , the potential  $V(r, \eta, \phi, t)$  can be separated into a radial,  $V_r(r)$ , and a vertical,  $V_\eta(\eta)$ , component, where  $\eta \equiv z/h(r)$  is the dimensionless vertical coordinate. The (small) fluid displacement vector,  $\xi$ , can be related to the Eulerian potential  $V(r, \eta, \phi, t)$  via the equations

$$\xi_{r}(r, \phi, z, t) \approx (\omega^{2} - \kappa^{2})^{-1}$$

$$\times \exp\left[i(m\phi + \sigma t)\right]V_{\eta}(\partial V_{r}/\partial r),$$

$$\xi_{z}(r, \phi, z, t) \approx (\omega^{2} - N_{z}^{2})^{-1}$$

$$\times \exp\left[i(m\phi + \sigma t)\right]V_{r}(\partial V_{\eta}/\partial z),$$
(2)

where  $N_c(\eta)$  is the vertical buoyancy frequency, which we shall set to zero (see P97 for a discussion of the role of nonvanishing buoyancy frequency). We shall usually use  $\Delta$  to denote a *Lagrangian* variation of a given quantity, where we follow the definitions of NW92, NW93, and P97. (For m=0, g-modes have  $\omega^2=\sigma^2\lesssim\kappa^2$ .)

The radial component of the fluid displacement approximately satisfies the WKB relation

$$\omega^2 c_s^2(r,0) \frac{d^2 W}{dr^2} + (\omega^2 - \kappa^2)(\omega^2 - \Upsilon \Omega_\perp^2) W = 0, \quad (3)$$

where Y(r) is a slowly varying separation function (akin to a separation constant in the WKB limit),  $c_s(r, 0)$  is the speed of sound at the disk midplane,  $W = (\kappa^2 - w^2)^{-1} dV_r/dr$ , and  $\Omega_\perp = \Omega$  for a nonrotating black hole. We have taken the perturbations to be adiabatic, which means that the Lagrangian perturbation of the pressure and density are given by  $\Delta P/P = \gamma \Delta \rho/\rho \approx -\gamma \nabla \cdot \xi$ . Utilizing the radial WKB approximation employed in equation (3), the (approximate) components of the divergence become

$$\frac{\partial \xi_{r}}{\partial r} \approx \left[ (\Upsilon \Omega^{2} - \omega^{2}) / h^{2} \Omega^{2} \omega^{2} \right] \exp(i \sigma t) V_{r}(r) V_{\eta}(\eta),$$

$$\frac{\partial \xi_{z}}{\partial z} \approx (h \omega)^{-2} \exp(i \sigma t) V_{r}(r) \frac{\partial^{2} V_{\eta}(\eta)}{\partial \eta^{2}}.$$
(4)

(We have also taken the unperturbed vertical barotropic structure to be in hydrostatic equilibrium.)

For most simple  $\alpha$ -models, the energy generation rate per unit volume is approximately  $\alpha P(r,z)\Omega(r)$ . The modes not only perturb the pressure (we ignore possible perturbations to  $\alpha$ , and one can show that perturbations to  $\Omega$  are negligible), but they also perturb the locations of the disk boundaries. The variation of the luminosity is therefore

$$\delta L \sim 2\pi \left[ \int_{r_{I}+\xi_{r}(r_{I})}^{r_{O}+\xi_{r}(r_{O})} r' dr' \int_{-z_{O}+\xi_{z}(-z_{O})}^{z_{O}+\xi_{z}(z_{O})} \alpha \Omega P'(r', z') dz - \int_{r_{I}}^{r_{O}} r dr \int_{-z_{O}}^{z_{O}} \alpha \Omega P(r, z) dz \right],$$
 (5)

where  $P'(r', z') \equiv P(r, z) + \Delta P(r, z)$ ,  $r' \equiv r + \xi_r(r)$ ,  $z' = z + \xi_r(z)$ , and  $r_l$ ,  $r_o$ , and  $z_0$  are the disk boundaries ( $r_o$  can be

taken to go to  $\infty$  without loss of generality). Transforming variables, the change in luminosity can be written as

$$\delta L \sim 2\pi \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{0}} dr \,\alpha \Omega(r) r \int_{-z_{0}}^{z_{0}} dz \, P(r, z) \times \left\{ (1 - \gamma) \nabla \cdot \xi + \left[ \frac{\partial \xi_{r}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \xi_{z}}{\partial z} - \gamma (\nabla \cdot \xi)^{2} \right] - \gamma \frac{\partial \xi_{r}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \xi_{z}}{\partial z} \nabla \cdot \xi \right\}, \tag{6}$$

where we have employed the above expression for the Lagrangian pressure change and have employed the WKB approximation in *each* harmonic term above.

The luminosity fluctuation in general will have contributions from the fundamental frequency, as well as from the first two harmonics. We have calculated the luminosity modulation for modes in a disk with radial sound speed profile equal to that of a radiation pressure—dominated Shakura-Sunyaev disk with  $L/L_{\rm Edd}=0.3$ . The exact radial profile does not greatly affect the estimates, as we can replace the quantity  $\int P(r,0)\Omega(r) dz$  with F(r), the disk energy flux, which is known from energy conservation. As the mode width  $\Delta r \propto h^{1/2}$ , the magnitude of h does affect the luminosity estimates. If we define the maximum magnitude (achieved at a radius  $r_m$ ) of the vertical displacement vector  $\xi_c(r_m,z,t)$  to be  $\equiv \Re h(r_m)$ , then for the case of the mode with one radial node in  $V_r$  and two vertical nodes in  $V_n$  ( $\partial \xi_c/\partial \eta$  even about the midplane), we have

$$\frac{\delta L}{L} \approx (0.6\%) \mathcal{H} \sin (\sigma t + \theta) - (9.8\%) \mathcal{H}^2$$

$$\times \sin^2 (\sigma t + \theta) + (0.2\%) \mathcal{H}^3 \sin^3 (\sigma t + \theta). \tag{7}$$

(Here we have used the psuedo-Newtonian approximation of NW92 and NW93 for our calculations.) This is the modulation of the *bolometric* luminosity. As the mode exists in the inner, hotter regions of the accretion disk, the modulation in restricted high-energy bandpasses will be greater. However, we have not properly accounted for radiative transfer effects. Dispersion in photon diffusion times over the extent of the mode will decrease the modulated luminosity for large optical depths.

# 3. APPLICATION TO GRS 1915+105

Although the above luminosity modulation is not large,  $\mathcal{H} \sim 0.6-1.6$  produces, at the fundamental frequency, rms variability  $\sim 0.3\%-1.6\%$  (=[(0.6%) $\mathcal{H}$  + (0.2%) $\mathcal{H}^3$ ]/ $\sqrt{2}$ ), as is seen for the feature in GRS 1915+105. The first harmonic can produce this observed rms variability for  $\mathcal{H} \sim 0.3-0.7$ . (The rms variability is the coefficient of the  $\sin^2$  term divided by  $\sqrt{8}$ .) The modulation seen in GRS 1915+105 increases to 6% if the energy band is restricted to the 12-25 keV range. This qualitatively agrees with what we expect for our modes. If we compare the bolometric luminosity variation of the mode to the bolometric luminosity from the region  $r \approx (6-20)GM/c^2$ , the relative fractional variation increases to  $\sim 6\%$ .

For the particular radial and vertical disk structure assumed here, the rms variability in the first harmonic is more significant than the rms variability in the fundamental frequency. As so little is known, both observationally and theoretically, about

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The first "even" mode about the midplane is not trapped in the region of the epicyclic frequency maximum. The first "odd" mode leads to a vanishing vertical integral in the above estimate of luminosity modulation.

disk vertical profiles, we cannot definitively state whether this feature is generic. Based upon energy arguments, however, we can say that generically disk modes can only produce rms variability  $\sim O(1\%)$  if  $\mathcal{H} \sim 1$ . We regard the question of which frequency, the fundamental or first harmonic, to associate with the observed 67 Hz feature as an open issue.

Equation (1) indicates that a 67 Hz (fundamental) modulation can be produced in a disk around a black hole with a mass of  $10.6~M_{\odot}$  for a nonrotating hole and  $36.3~M_{\odot}$  for a maximally rotating hole. There are few "natural" frequencies to invoke in a black hole system. If one were to appeal to a process occurring at the marginally stable orbit  $(r = 6GM/c^2)$  for a nonrotating black hole), not only would the luminosity from this region be lower than our mode estimates (owing to the no-torque boundary condition used in disk models), but also the required hole mass would increase by a factor of at least  $16/(3\sqrt{3}) \sim 3$  (if the 67 Hz feature represents the fundamental mode frequency). Our nonrotating hole, fundamental frequency, estimate of the required mass would yield an observed X-ray luminosity (Morgan et al. 1997)  $\gtrsim 30\%$  of the Eddington luminosity, consistent with our assumptions above.

#### 4. MODE EXCITATION AND SELECTION EFFECTS

## 4.1. Turbulent Damping and Excitation

It is possible to use a parameterized stress tensor to estimate the effects of turbulent viscosity on the modes (NW92, NW93). The canonical energy of a radial mode is  $E_c \sim \sigma^2 \rho(\xi_c^2 + \xi_r^2) \Delta V$ , where  $\Delta V$  is the volume occupied by the mode. Isotropic turbulence produces a rate of change  $dE_c/dt \equiv -E_c/\tau$ , with  $\tau \sim |\alpha \sigma(h^2/\lambda_r^2 + h^2/\lambda_z^2)|^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_r$ ,  $\lambda_z$ , respectively, being the radial and vertical mode wavelengths. The corresponding quality factor is given by

$$Q_m^{-1} = (|\sigma|\tau)^{-1} \sim [j^2 + (h/r)n^2]\alpha, \tag{8}$$

as  $\lambda_i \sim h/j$  and  $\lambda_i \sim (hr)^{1/2}/n$ , where j and n are of the order of the number of vertical and radial nodes in any particular eigenfunction. Thus, for  $\alpha \ll 1$ , we can have high-mode Q.

The other contribution to the fractional width  $\Delta f/f$  of the corresponding feature in a power spectrum comes from the number of modes that are significantly excited. If we assume that this will include those whose wavelengths are greater than the maximum eddy size  $L \sim \alpha^{1/2}h$  divided by the corresponding Mach number  $\sim \alpha^{1/2}$ , equation (1) yields

$$\Delta f/f \gtrsim \Delta n(h/r) \sim \sqrt{h/r}$$
. (9)

The minimum effective frequency width will be composed of this span of modes, each broadened by  $1/Q \sim \alpha$ .

The above estimates are for *isotropic* viscosity. If the turbulence does not efficiently couple to the vertical gradients of the modes, then the mode Q-value is increased by a factor  $\sim (j\lambda_r/h)^2$  (NW93). Aside from damping modes, turbulence can also potentially excite modes. Velocity perturbations in the disk,  $\delta v$ , are made up of a mode component,  $\delta v_M$ , and a turbulent component,  $\delta v_T$ . Viscous damping arises from terms of the form  $\delta v_{M,i} \delta v_{T,j}$ , while mode excitation arises from terms of the form  $\delta v_{T,i} \delta v_{T,j}$  (NW93). It is possible to make simple estimates of the magnitude of the turbulent excitation and to balance this against the turbulent damping (NW93). The modes are excited to an amplitude of  $|\xi^z| \sim \alpha (h/\lambda_r)^{3/2} h$ , and  $\sim \alpha (\lambda_r/h)^{1/2} h$ , for isotropic and anisotropic viscosity, respectively (NW93). If turbulence is playing the dominant role in damping and exciting

the modes, then we have the following constraints. For isotropic turbulence, the Q-value is large only for  $\alpha \ll 1$ ; however, this implies a correspondingly small amplitude. For anisotropic viscosity, not only can we tolerate a larger  $\alpha$ , but we also achieve a larger mode amplitude, although achieving the observationally required nonlinear mode amplitude is difficult in either case.

## 4.2. Negative Radiative Damping

As a first approximation, we took the modes to be adiabatic. In reality, we expect there to be small entropy changes due to various effects, the most notable one being radiative losses. If we have a radiation pressure-dominated atmosphere, as is likely in high-luminosity disks, one properly should use

$$\Delta P = \gamma \frac{P}{\rho} \Delta \rho + \gamma \frac{P}{s} \Delta s, \tag{10}$$

where s is the specific entropy. We can estimate 6 the effect of this term for a radiation pressure-dominated atmosphere, where one has

$$4\frac{P}{s}\frac{Ds}{Dt} \approx -\frac{c}{\kappa_{es}}\nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla P}{\rho} \approx \frac{c}{\kappa_{es}}\Omega^2$$
 (11)

(c is the speed of light,  $\kappa_{es}$  is the electron scattering opacity, and we have invoked vertical hydrostatic equilibrium).

For perturbations of scalars, the Lagrangian perturbation operator  $\Delta$  commutes with total time derivatives D/Dt (see Lynden-Bell & Ostriker 1967). Using this fact, and the fact that for our modes  $D\Delta s/Dt = i\omega \Delta s$ , we can show that

$$\frac{P\Delta s}{s} = \left(1 - i\frac{4\kappa_{\rm es}P\omega}{c\Omega^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\Delta P - P\xi_r\frac{\partial \ln\Omega^2}{\partial r}\right) 
= \left(1 - i\frac{4\omega\tau_{\rm es}h}{c}\right)^{-1} \left(\Delta P - P\xi_r\frac{\partial \ln\Omega^2}{\partial r}\right), \quad (12)$$

where  $\tau_{es}$  is the electron scattering optical depth of the atmosphere. The term proportional to  $\partial \ln \Omega^2/\partial r$  in the above is negligible in the WKB approximation. The Lagrangian variation of the entropy is therefore directly proportional to the Lagrangian variation of the pressure.

We do not know the true radial and vertical structure of a disk model that correctly describes the spectral observations of GRS 1915+105. However, for a "standard" Shakura-Sunyaev  $\alpha$ -disk model, one can show, with  $\omega \sim \kappa$ , that  $\omega \tau_{\rm es} h/c \sim \alpha^{-1}$  and hence is likely to be  $\gg 1$ . Taking this to be the case, we can combine equations (10) and (12) to yield  $\Delta P/P \equiv \gamma' \Delta \rho/\rho$ , where

$$\gamma' \approx \gamma \left(1 + i \frac{\gamma c}{4\omega \tau_{\rm es} h}\right) \equiv \gamma (1 + i\alpha').$$
 (13)

We have subsumed our ignorance of the disk's vertical structure into the parameter  $\alpha'$ , which we expect to be of  $O(\alpha)$ .

To estimate the effects that the nonadiabatic terms have upon our modes, we can substitute the above into equation (3) with  $\partial^2 W/\partial r^2 \approx -k_r^2 W$  and then expand it about the adiabatic solution. Specifically, we then have a dispersion relation that can be written in terms of a function  $G(\omega) = 0$ , to which we are adding a function  $H(\omega)$  due to radiation damping. If  $\omega_0$  is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Throughout this section, our estimates will be based upon vertically averaged quantities rather than fully z-dependent quantities.

the unperturbed mode frequency and  $\delta\omega$  is its perturbation, we then have to first order

$$\left. \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega} \right|_{\omega_0} \delta \omega + H(\omega_0) = 0. \tag{14}$$

The mode Q-value then becomes

$$Q = i \frac{\omega}{\delta \omega} = -i \frac{\partial G/\partial \ln \omega|_{\omega_0}}{H(\omega_0)} \sim -\frac{2Y}{\alpha'} \left(\frac{\Omega}{c_s k_r}\right)^2, \quad (15)$$

where, on the right-hand side of the above, we have used equation (3) and have taken the term  $Y\Omega_{\perp}$  to be dominant (i.e.,  $Y \gtrsim 1$ ). The minus sign indicates mode *growth*; that is, the modes are *unstable* to radiative losses. As typically  $k_r^{-1} > h$ , Y > 1, and  $\alpha < 1$ , it is fairly easy to obtain  $|Q| \gg 1$ .

# 4.3. Selection Effects

As discussed in § 2, the modes must approach nonlinearity in order to be observationally relevant. If isotropic turbulent excitation determines the mode amplitude, then there is a natural maximum vertical perturbation amplitude with  $\mathcal{H} \ll 1$ . If the turbulence is anisotropic, on the other hand, then it is possible to achieve  $\mathcal{H} \sim 1$ , so long as  $\alpha \sim (h/\lambda_*)^{1/2} \lesssim 1$ . For this case, we expect Q-values  $\sim \alpha^{-3}$ . Radiative excitation also naturally leads to  $\mathcal{H} \sim 1$ . At some point, currently unknown, damping effects and nonlinearities must limit this radiative mode growth. As a zeroth-order approximation, we take the linear growth rates calculated above to be relevant to the near-nonlinear regime and thus to be equal to the saturated damping rate. The above radiative Q-value then gives a rough estimate of the width of observationally relevant modes.

The low rms variability of the modes means that only modes with high Q-values will be detectable. Observational limits for  $Q \sim 20$  were rms  $\sim 0.3\%$  and detectable rms  $\propto Q^{-1/2}$  for narrow modes. Considering the dominant contributions as nand j increase, turbulence leads to  $Q \propto j^{-2}$  (isotropic) and  $Q \propto$  $n^{-2}$  (anisotropic), whereas radiative damping leads to  $\bar{Q} \propto$  $j^2/n^2$ . The limiting detectable rms variability is therefore linear in n and j. Turbulent excitation leads only to high Q-values for modes with low j (isotropic) or low n (anisotropic). Radiative damping, on the other hand, leads only to high Q-values for modes with low n and high j. We note, however, that the mode calculations require a smooth, well-behaved background to perturb. If small-scale turbulence truly is responsible for disk viscosity, our unperturbed hydrodynamic equations are relevant only for the largest scales, again favoring both low n and low j. For all cases considered above, a high Q-value is most easily achieved for  $\alpha \ll 1$ . The 67 Hz mode seen in GRS 1915+105, if a diskoseismic mode, therefore is likely one with low n and j, and  $\alpha \ll 1$ .

Gammie, C. 1996, private communication Lynden-Bell, D., & Ostriker, J. P. 1967, MNRAS, 136, 293 Morgan, E., Remillard, R., & Greiner, J. 1996, IAU Circ. 6392 ———. 1997, ApJ, submitted Nowak, M. A., & Wagoner, R. V. 1992, ApJ, 393, 697 (NW92)

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The main motivations for attributing the 67 Hz feature in GRS 1915+105 to a diskoseismic mode are that (1) these modes are related to a "natural" frequency in the disk (i.e., the maximum epicyclic frequency); (2) their spectra are expected to be characteristic of the inner, hottest regions of the disk; (3) their frequencies are relatively insensitive to changes in luminosity; and (4) they have low rms variability. This latter feature, although in agreement with the observations, is the strongest constraint. These modes cannot be applied to systems that show  $\geq 10\%$  rms variability over a wide range of energy bands. We have identified two mechanisms, turbulent excitation and negative radiation damping, that naturally lead to appreciable mode amplitudes with high Q-value for  $\alpha \ll 1$ .

Again, we stress that the only other "natural" disk frequency, the Keplerian rotation frequency at the last marginally stable circular orbit, would require a black hole mass a factor ≥3 larger. Furthermore, less flux is emitted from that region than from the g-mode region.

We saw that there were two requirements for the g-modes to be observationally detectable. First, the g-modes had to be wide, or equivalently the disk had to be luminous, assuming that  $c_s^{1/2} \propto L^{1/2}$ . Second, the g-mode amplitudes had to approach the nonlinear regime. Ideally, one should perform numerical simulations of the nonlinear development of the g-modes to determine mode widths, Q, and luminosity modulation more accurately. It is interesting to note that the MHD simulations of Stone et al. (1996) do show copious p-mode production associated with the turbulence (Gammie 1996). (MHD simulations have yet to be performed for rotation and epicyclic frequency profiles relevant to the g-mode-trapping region.)

These g-mode oscillations should also exist in accretion disks around compact (soft equation of state), weakly magnetized ( $B < 10^8$  G) neutron stars. Under these conditions, the inner radius of the disk will be less than those radii where the g-mode exists. Again, large-amplitude (rms  $\gg 1\%$ ) features cannot be explained with these modes.

Even if the 67 Hz feature seen in GRS 1915+105 does not turn out to be a diskoseismic mode, it points out two important lessons. First, black hole candidate systems can produce relatively stable, high-frequency features. Second, the Rossi X-Ray Timing Explorer is capable of detecting and characterizing these features despite their weak variability. The search for diskoseismic modes in this system and other BHC has therefore become a viable and worthwhile pursuit.

The authors would like to acknowledge useful conversations with Ed Morgan. M. A. N. was supported by NASA grant NAG 5-3225, R. V. W. by NASA grant NAG 5-3102, D. E. L. by a National Defense Science and Engineering Fellowship, and M. C. B. by NSF grants AST 95-29175 and AST 91-20599.

## REFERENCES

Nowak, M. A., & Wagoner, R. V. 1993, ApJ, 418, 187 (NW93)
Perez, C. A., Silbergleit, A. S., Wagoner, R. V., & Lehr, D. E. 1997, ApJ, 476, 589 (P97)
Stone, J. M., Hawley, J. F., Gammie, C. F., & Balbus, S. A. 1996, ApJ, 463, 656